Doing Democratic Public Administration
MPA 1st year core (general), Winter 2014
Thursdays 6:00pm-10:00pm, Saturday 3/15 9:00am-4:00pm
Location: TBD

Amy Gould: goulda@evergreen.edu Office hours: by appt. Sem II D2106 Phone: (360) 867-6135

Steve Laubacher: laubachs@evergreen.edu Office hours: by appt. Phone: TBA

Greg Weeks: weeksg@evergreen.edu Office hours: Thursdays 4:00-6:00pm or by appt. Phone: (360) 701-8993

TESC MPA Mission Statement: Our students, faculty and staff create learning communities to explore and implement socially just, democratic public service. We think critically and creatively; communicate effectively; work collaboratively; embrace diversity; we value fairness and equity; advocate powerfully on behalf of the public; and imagine new possibilities to accomplish positive change in our workplaces and in our communities. “You must be the change you wish to see in the world.”– Mohandas K. Gandhi

Curriculum At a Glance, 2014 MPA 1st year core (subject to change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Content Areas</th>
<th>Knowledge, Skill, Ability Development Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Winter – Doing Democratic Public Administration | • Leadership & management  
• Organization  
• Theory/Development/Behavior  
• Systems Thinking & Sustainable Change  
• Applying Economic Evidence  
• Social Equity | • Active listening  
• Working in Difference  
• Managing groups & organizations  
• Technical Writing  
• Public Speaking  
• Applied Learning |
| Spring – Public Policy, Public Budgeting, & Fiscal Analysis | • Fiscal & Policy Analysis  
• Policy Process  
• Civic Engagement  
• Advocacy  
• Innovation  
• Managing Public Goods  
• Program Evaluation  
• Performance Measurement  
• Social Entrepreneurship | • Applied learning  
• Budget Processes & Analysis  
• Implementing recommendations  
• Public Speaking |

1st Year Core Description (Winter and Spring)
In the winter quarter, we will examine the knowledge and skill sets necessary to successfully practice public administration within a variety of organizations such as governments, nonprofits, for profits, etc. Finally, during the spring quarter we will examine the policy, budget, and fiscal foundations necessary to serve the public.

Doing Democratic Public Administration Course Description (Winter)
This quarter we will explore what it takes to administer for the public good in a democracy. Although our emphasis will be on the public sector, we will also consider the particular contexts of nonprofit organizations and tribal governments. Our focus will be on doing public
administration with innovative processes, particularly the evolving roles and relationships of administrators in the complex web of our democratic system. Important themes of the quarter include how to use systems thinking to manage sustainable change. Specifically, how to diagnose what is happening in an organization and, if necessary, craft and implement innovative strategies for organizational change.

**Learning Objectives**
1. Examine and evaluate contemporary ideas and practices in administering for the public good in a democracy.
2. Develop and improve organizational analysis skills using secondary research and apply organization theories.
3. Gain an understanding of how to apply methods that lead to successful change in public organizations.
4. Develop ability to work effectively in diverse environments and with diverse populations.
5. Improve skills in critical thinking, writing, speaking, and working effectively in teams.

**Books Carried Over From Fall Quarter**


Stiglitz (2000). *Economics of the Public Sector*, 3rd edition. W.W. Norton & Co. ISBN: 978-0393966510, $33 used, **used in class all year**

**New Books Winter Quarter**


**Articles Winter 2014 (articles posted on moodle):**

Doppelt, B. (2000). “Overcoming the Seven Sustainability Blunders.”
[http://www.pegasuscom.com/levpoints/7blunders.html](http://www.pegasuscom.com/levpoints/7blunders.html)

## Winter 2014 Schedule (subject to change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TOPIC/ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>READINGS</th>
<th>DUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>Org Theory &amp; PA</td>
<td>Readings: Article:Goodsell</td>
<td>Assignment #1- Org Theory Analysis, due 1/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lecture/Workshop</td>
<td>Book: Denhardt, chs. 1, 12, 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td>Book: Shafritz, chs. 9, 11, 14, 19, 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>Systems Thinking &amp; Sustainable Change</td>
<td>Readings: Article: Doppelt</td>
<td>Assignment #2- Sustainable Change &amp; Systems Analysis, due 1/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>• Lecture/Workshop</td>
<td>Book: Shafritz, ch. 21, 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td>Book: Meadows, <em>Thinking In Systems</em> - ALL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>Doing Democracy</td>
<td>Reading: Miller, <em>We Don’t Make Widgets</em> - ALL</td>
<td>Assignment #3- Action Memo, due 1/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/23</td>
<td>• Lecture on TVA/Film</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Innovation in Orgs</td>
<td>Reading: Johansson, <em>Medici Effect</em> - ALL</td>
<td>Assignment #4 - Case Analysis, due 2/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>• Lecture/Workshop</td>
<td>Denhardt, ch. 2, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>Managing Public Goods</td>
<td>Reading: Ostrom, <em>Governing the Commons</em> - ALL</td>
<td>Assignment #4 - Case Analysis, due 2/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>• Lecture/workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>Managing with Economics</td>
<td>Reading: Stiglitz, Chs. 6, 8,9,11,12,14, &amp; 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13</td>
<td>• Guest speaker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Midterm Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>Leading with Non-profits</td>
<td>Readings: Denhardt, chs. 5, 6, 7, 13</td>
<td>Assignment #5- Draft 1 Org Analysis, due 2/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>• Lecture/Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Social Equity &amp; Leadership</td>
<td>Reading: Gladwell, <em>Outliers</em> - ALL</td>
<td>Assignment #5- Draft 2 Org Analysis, due 2/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/27</td>
<td>• Lecture/Workshop</td>
<td>Denhardt, ch. 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td>Shafritz, chs. 48, 49, 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 9</td>
<td>Leadership Styles</td>
<td>Reading: Cain, <em>Quiet</em> - ALL</td>
<td>Assignment #5- Draft 3 Org Analysis, due 3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>• Guest speaker panel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seminar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 10</td>
<td>Democratic PA?</td>
<td>Readings: Book: Shafritz, chs. 55, 58, 59</td>
<td>Assignment #5- Draft Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Synthesis Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>Class Presentations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assignment #5 - Final Org Analysis Paper &amp; Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/15</td>
<td>9:00am-4:00pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Winter 2014 Assignments

Assignment #1: Org Theory Analysis
Due: Week 1, posted on moodle Tuesday 1/7 by 8:00am. Pages: 5
Compare and contrast three different organizational theories from the readings for week 1. Note similarities and differences in the claims and assumptions of the theories. Comment on how the three org theories are applicable to at least one key piece of your learning from Fall quarter. Finally, how do these org theories relate to the “new visions of PA” described in Goodsell?

Assignment #2 Sustainable Change & Systems Analysis
Due: Week 2, posted to moodle Tuesday 1/14 by 8:00am. Pages: 5
Define a current problem in the organization where you work (or some organization you select). Identify the system structure that leads to the problem and list the likely places for “leverage points” as Meadows suggests. Recommend a small change that could lead to a larger shift in the behavior of the organization (see Meadows, p. 145). Assess the organization’s readiness to undertake the elements of Doppelt's sustainability change model, the "wheel of change toward sustainability.” In your view, is the organization ready to start this process? What changes would be needed to make them ready, and how feasible are these changes? Briefly critique Doppelt's model based on this real world application. What might be missing from the model? What changes or improvements would you suggest to make it more democratic?

Assignments #3: Action Memo
Due: Week 3, posted on moodle Tuesday 1/21 by 8:00am. Pages: 1-2
Write an action memo to address the problem you identified in assignment #2. The action memo should be in memo format, single spaced, 12 pt font, with appropriate date/to/cc/from/subject lines, and state: 1) the problem in one sentence; 2) overview of the problem; 3) the alternatives available to address the problem (with the pros and cons of each alternative noted); 4) select one alternative as your recommendation and support why; 5) an action plan to implement your recommendation. Each section of the memo should have a heading.

Assignment #4: Case Analysis
Due: Week 5, posted on moodle Tuesday 2/4 by 8:00am. Pages: 3
First, use the reading for the week by selecting one of the case studies presented in Ostrom, Governing the Commons (see chs. 3, 4, 5 with location specific examples of common pool resources; each of these are a “case” study; pick one). Second, find a current resource discussing the case you selected from Ostrom. Your case analysis paper should 1) Identify the background and facts surrounding the case; 2) Succinctly state the problem; 3) Identify the critical issue or issues contributing to the problem; 4) Specify various actions taken in the case; 5) Evaluate the effectiveness of each major action based on how it did or did not alleviate the problem; and 6) Decide the best course(s) of action by making a recommendation. Include section headings. Write in APA format, double spaced, 12 pt font.

Assignment #5: Org Analysis (group assignment)
1st Draft of Paper Due: Week 7, posted to moodle Tuesday 2/18 by 8:00am, Pages: 5-8.
*Note: Include your group contract in Draft 1. *
2nd Draft of Paper Due: Week 8, posted to moodle Tuesday 2/25 by 8:00am, Pages: 8-10
3rd Draft of Paper Due: Week 9, posted to moodle Tuesday 3/4 by 8:00am, Pages: 10-15
Final Paper Due: Week 10, posted to moodle Saturday 3/15 by 8:00am, Pages: 15
Conduct an organizational analysis of a public, non-profit, or Tribal government organization. The goal of the assignment is to expand your skills at organizational assessment and provide insights into the realities of life in organizations. You should select an organization you DO NOT currently work for. This assignment will be completed in teams of 2 to 3 students.
**Part I.** Teams should form within your seminar by the start of week 5. Your team will select a public, non-profit, or Tribal government organization and analyze it. First, gather some initial background information (publicly available) to ensure you have access to sufficient basic information about the organization (org chart, funding sources, mission, population served, etc.). By week 6, your team should have basic information about the org you selected and why.

**Part II.** Do secondary research. This means a complete search of secondary resources (government reports, media, academic research, internet sites, org memos, press releases, and other background documents/materials publicly available). If you wish to perform “informational interviews” with members of the organization (in-person, via phone, or e-mail) you must acquire approval of your interview questions from your assigned faculty before conducting the interview. Be aware that you may only ask for descriptive information from your interviewees. Do not ask them about their personal opinions. In other words, ask only about the facts. You may not ask any personal questions about the respondent or their views about the organization or people in it. You should choose a situation that lends itself to secondary research – this means information is readily and publicly available.

**Drafts, Due 2/18, 2/25, & 3/4, posted to moodle by 8:00am.**

*Note: Include your group contract in Draft 1.*

Your drafts should cover the following elements: Mission and/or vision and objectives, a brief history, population served, public need(s) addressed, budget(s), organizational structure/chart, and staffing. What is the organization known for, and what are its key accomplishments? What is it good at, and where has it fallen short? Is it known for any innovations? What are the challenges the organization is currently facing? (These could be challenges of strategy, budget, implementation/management or some combination of the above). How productive are its relationships with other levels or parts of government and/or non-profit organizations? Is it democratic in form and action? Finally, in your view, is the organization prepared to be sustainable in the future?

**Final Paper, Due 3/15, posted to moodle by 8:00am.** Your final paper for this organizational analysis project should be a maximum of 15 double-spaced pages. It should cover the elements listed above. **PLUS,** your team’s **recommendation for how the organization should address the main challenge it faces,** along with **evidence supporting your recommendation.** (Evidence should include theories from our class readings and examples of other organizations where the change you are recommending is successfully in action.)

**Assignment #5: Presentation of Final Org Analysis (group assignment)**

Draft Presentation due: Week 10, Thursday 3/13. Post to moodle by 8:00am, practice in seminar.

Final Presentation due: Week 10, Saturday 3/15. Post your group’s final presentation to moodle by 8:00am.

Using technology, create a visual aid for your presentation to the class. Your presentation should focus on the crucial aspects of your white paper to help persuade the audience to adopt your recommendation. A presentation should not exceed 10 minutes plus 5 minutes for Q & A (total of 15 minutes). All members of the audience will receive written feedback forms to give to you at the end of your presentation. **All group members do have to verbally participate in the presentation (as able).** A visual aid is required for the presentation and should follow the following guidelines: The presentation may not be more than 10 slides. Any photographs or graphs/charts should have an explanation about what is being displayed. All photographs should be cited with their source. Things to think about: contrast, background color (dark colors require a dark room), limiting red and green (colorblindness), font size, readability, universal design access. If using a handout, it may not be more than 1 page, single sided, double spaced, no more than 3 colors, 12 point font or larger and must have a graphic. If using a video, it may not be more than 5 minutes long and should be of good quality.
Human Subjects Review (HSR) Any “research” you do in this class should be all secondary research (data and information already available to you through existing resources such as books or websites). You will not collect any original data (research done through interviews, surveys, focus groups, etc.). Original research/data collection activities are dependent upon the Human Subjects Review application being reviewed and approved by TESC IRB.

Format: All papers should be typed using a computer, double spaced (unless otherwise stated), 12 point font, and follow APA format and citation style. [APA Style http://www.apastyle.org/learn/index.aspx Purdue Writing & Grammar Guide http://owl.english.purdue.edu/] All written work will be of high quality, grammatically correct, clear and without spelling errors. If you require it, please request resource writing assistance from faculty and/or contact the MPA Writing Assistant.

Participation & Attendance: Students are required to attend each class meeting in its entirety. Participation includes focusing on class content, speaking in class and seminar, listening to others, taking notes, completing class interactive exercises, avoiding distractions, and listening to and dialoging with the guest speakers. If an absence is unavoidable, seminar faculty should be notified prior to a class and/or seminar absence. After one absence per quarter (4 hours), make-up work may be assigned at faculty discretion, case-by-case. Makeup work must be completed by the deadline assigned to ensure full receipt of course credit. After three absences (12 hours) you may be denied full credit. After reoccurring weekly absences (missing an hour of class week to week) you may be denied full credit. Finally, if you do miss a class, you are still expected to do the reading for that class meeting and turn in any assignments that were due that class date. Late assignments: Turning in assignments late is unacceptable. However, if there is an unavoidable need to turn in an assignment late, the student should contact their seminar faculty no later than the original assignment due date to discuss options. Parameters are left to the discretion of the faculty on a situation-by-situation basis. Late assignments must be completed by the revised due date to ensure full receipt of course credit.

Credit: Students will receive 6 graduate credits at the completion of each quarter if all course requirements have been satisfactorily completed to meet course objectives. No partial credit will be awarded. Incompletes may rarely be offered on case by case basis. Refer to MPA student handbook. Full loss of credit decisions will be made by the faculty team. Full loss of credit for two terms of core may result in expulsion from the MPA program. Plagiarism (i.e., using other peoples’ work as your own) will result in total loss of credit for the class and may result in expulsion from the MPA program. Failing to complete one or more assignments, completing one or more assignments late, or multiple absences may constitute denial of total credit. Unexcused absences or lack of academic work may result in no credit at the discretion of the faculty. Students will also be evaluated based upon their progress towards the learning goals that will be assessed from classroom, seminar, and assignment performance. The faculty team makes decisions for no credit when necessary, based on absence or failure to meet academic course requirements. Evaluation: Written self-evaluations and a seminar faculty evaluation are required for credit at the end of each quarter in our evaluation conference along with faculty evaluations of students. (Students may submit faculty evaluations to faculty directly or to Program Secretaries.)

Multiculturalism & Diversity: Faculty and students will actively work towards contextually weaving multiculturalism and diversity throughout our learning as related to readings, lectures, seminar and group projects. In a learning community students and faculty share the responsibility for the teaching and learning environment. We are all encouraged to add to the existing format and content by incorporating relevant professional experiences in dialogue and by presenting current events regarding public service. Multiculturalism and diversity is to be understood as:
aiming to promote constructive community discourse about issues of culture, power and life-style differences including but not limited to race, ethnicity, color, nationality, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, class, sexual orientation, age, religion, (dis)ability, and veteran status.

**Learning Styles:** We all have different ways of acquiring new knowledge. Therefore, faculty will actively work towards providing information in multiple formats: tactile, auditory, visual, experiential, etc. However, style applications are limited to means appropriate for the classroom environment. (Activities such as surfing the internet, reading unrelated materials, playing with an IPOD, laptop, or cell phone are not appropriate.) Consult your seminar faculty to discuss learning style options or personal challenges.

**Reasonable Accommodations** will be provided for any student who qualifies for them through a working relationship with Access Services. To request academic accommodations due to a disability, please contact the office of Access Services for Students with Disabilities (867-6348 or 6364). If the student is already working with the office of Access Services the faculty should have received a letter clearly indicating the student has a disability that requires academic accommodations. If any student has a health condition or disability that may require accommodations in order to effectively participate in this class, please do the following: **Contact faculty** before class and Contact Access Services to receive a letter of accommodation. Information about a disability or health condition will be regarded as confidential. Please refer to TESC’s Students with Disabilities Policy.

**Expectations of Students and faculty:** to promote a cooperative, supportive atmosphere within the community; give everyone opportunity for self-reflection and expression; use high standards in reading the text and preparing our papers, lectures, and comments in seminar; handle all disputes in a spirit of goodwill. Discuss any problems involving others in the learning community directly with the individuals involved (so long as the concerned party feels safe doing so).

**Possessing respect for others is fundamental to an open, free, and educational dialogue. All students are expected to support and contribute to a well functioning MPA classroom and learning community. Behavior that disrupts the learning community may be grounds for disciplinary action, including dismissal from the MPA program. All students will be held accountable for maintaining the highest of academic standards.**

**We will abide by the social contract:** WAC 174-121-010 College philosophy.

**We will abide by the student conduct code (including academic integrity and plagiarism):** Chapter 174-123 WAC, Student Conduct Code & Grievance/Appeals Process

**We will abide by the non-discrimination policies and procedures at TESC:**
[http://www.evergreen.edu/mpa/studenthandbook/nondiscrimination.htm](http://www.evergreen.edu/mpa/studenthandbook/nondiscrimination.htm)

**Guest Policy:** Guests are welcome to visit our learning community during class time and seminar meetings with discretionary approval from course faculty in advance of the requested visit. It is the host student’s responsibility to contact the faculty with details about the requested guest visit and await approval. Guests must abide by all social contract conduct code, and nondiscrimination policy guidelines as aforementioned in this syllabus.

**Inclement Weather:** In the event of bad weather or emergencies students should check with for announcements of campus closures. Students can call the main campus line 867-6000 to get the latest news regarding a campus closure or delay. Faculty may decide to cancel program meeting even if campus is open and we will send an all-program email prior to 3:00 pm. You are responsible for checking email and ensuring viable transportation options are available to you.