
Interdivisional Trends 2006-07 to 2017-18

The table below describes the trend of interdivisional programs at Evergreen from 2006-07 to 2017-18. The analysis presents programs in terms of how many divisions of liberal arts (Art, Natural/Physical Sciences, Humanities, Social Science and/or Math/QSR) they incorporate Extensively (a primary area of study, credits awarded, substantial ongoing emphasis) or Moderately (regular area of study, multiple program activities, credits may have been awarded).

Evergreen defines a broadly interdisciplinary program as one with moderate or extensive inclusion of 3 or more divisons.

	N. Prog.	3 + divisions	2 divisions	1 division	0 divisions*
2006-07	120	43.3%	41.7%	14.2%	0.8%
2006-07	120	52	50	17	1
2007-08	116	38.8%	46.6%	14.7%	0.0%
2007-08	110	45	54	17	0
2008-09	119	37.8%	42.0%	20.2%	0.0%
2008-09	119	45	50	24	0
2009-10	132	32.5%	49.2%	17.4%	0.8%
2009-10	152	43	65	23	1
2010-11	101	30.7%	41.6%	25.7%	2.0%
2010-11		31	42	26	2
2011-12	136	25.7%	49.3%	23.5%	1.5%
2011-12		35	67	32	2
2012-13	141	33.3%	52.5%	13.5%	0.7%
2012-13	141	47	74	19	1
2013-14	128	37.5%	43.0%	18.0%	1.6%
2013-14	120	48	55	23	2
2014-15	111	31.5%	45.0%	23.4%	0.0%
2014-15	111	35	50	26	0
2015-16	159	30.8%	45.3%	23.3%	0.6%
2013-10	159	49	72	37	1
2016-17	158	36.1%	48.1%	15.8%	0.0%
2010-17	136	57	76	25	0
2017-18	145	36.6%	43.4%	20.0%	0.0%
	1	53	63	29	0



^{*} These programs did not incorporate any of the five divisions extensively or moderately.

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2017-18

First-year (FY only); Lower Division (LD) FY-SO; All Level (AL) FR-SR; Sophomore-Senior (SOSR); Upper Division (UD) JR-SR

Failed to			Less than 2			
respond	Respondents	Program type	Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions	
0	4	FY	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
0	U 4	FT.	2	0	2	
5	15	. 15 15	LD	6.7%	46.7%	46.7%
5 15	15	LD	1	7	7	
20	F.C	AL	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	
26	56		8	24	24	
16	37	COCD	29.7%	43.2%	27.0%	
16 37	SOSR	11	16	10		
12	33	UD	21.2%	48.5%	30.3%	
12	33		7	16	10	

Total 145

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2016-17

Failed to respond	Respondents	Program type	Less than 2 Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions	
3	9	FY	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	
3	9	FY	0	3	6	
2	10	2 10 10	LD	10.5%	47.4%	42.1%
2 19	19	LD	2	9	8	
10	49	AL	18.4%	34.7%	46.9%	
18	49		9	17	23	
21	44	COCD	18.2%	56.8%	25.0%	
21 44	SOSR	8	25	11		
5	37	UD	16.2%	59.5%	24.3%	
3	3/	UD	6	22	9	

Total 158

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2015-16

Failed to respond	Respondents	Program type	Less than 2 Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions	
respond	Respondents	riogiani type	DIVISIONS	Z DIVISIONS	3 + DIVISIONS	
1	11	FY	36.4%	18.2%	45.5%	
	1 11	1,	4	2	5	
0	12	0 12	LD	8.3%	50.0%	41.7%
0		LD	1	6	5	
22	46	AL	19.6%	43.5%	37.0%	
			9	20	17	
17		55 SOSR	23.6%	47.3%	29.1%	
1/ 55	33		13	26	16	
11	35	UD	31.4%	51.4%	17.1%	
11	35		11	18	6	

Total 159

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2014-15

Failed to			Less than 2		
respond	Respondents	Program type	Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions
1	3	FY	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
1	3	F1	0	0	3
3	9	LD	11.1%	66.7%	22.2%
3 9	9	, LD	1	6	2
22	32	AL	21.9%	37.5%	40.6%
23	32		7	12	13
27	47	SOSR	25.5%	51.1%	23.4%
27 47	47		12	24	11
15	20	UD	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%
15	20	J J J	6	8	6

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2013-14

Failed to			Less than 2		
respond	Respondents	Program type	Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions
2	6	FY	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%
2	2 6	ГТ	1	1	4
6	11	11 LD	0.0%	45.5%	54.5%
0	11	LD	0	5	6
18	43	AL	16.3%	41.9%	41.9%
10	45		7	18	18
24	39	SOSR	17.9%	46.2%	35.9%
24 59	39		7	18	14
13	29	UD	34.5%	44.8%	20.7%
12	29		10	13	6

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2012-13

Failed to			Less than 2		
respond	Respondents	Program type	Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions
2 -	5	5 FY	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%
	2 5	ГТ	0	3	2
3	11	LD	9.1%	54.5%	36.4%
3		LD	1	6	4
18	58	AL	17.2%	43.1%	39.7%
10	36		10	25	23
21	43	COCD	9.3%	67.4%	23.3%
21 43	SOSR	4	29	10	
13	24	UD	20.8%	45.8%	33.3%
13	24		5	11	8

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2011-12

Failed to			Less than 2			
respond	Respondents	Program type	Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions	
1	4	FY	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	
1	4	Fi	0	1	3	
1	5	1 г	LD	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%
1 5	5	LD	1	1	3	
20	68	Δ1	26.5%	47.1%	26.5%	
30	00	AL	18	32	18	
16	32	SOSR	18.8%	59.4%	21.9%	
16 32	32		6	19	7	
9	27	UD	33.3%	51.9%	14.8%	
9	27		9	14	4	

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2010-11

Failed to			Less than 2			
respond	Respondents	Program type	Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions	
2	2	FY	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
	2 2	ГТ	0	2	0	
1	6	1 6	LD	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
1		LD	2	4	0	
24	55	AL	25.5%	40.0%	34.5%	
24	33		14	22	19	
12	12 22	COCD	34.8%	30.4%	34.8%	
13 23	SOSR	8	7	8		
8	15	UD	26.7%	26.7%	46.7%	
0	12	UD	4	4	7	

Annual interdivisionality by program type: 2009-10

Failed to			Less than 2			
respond	Respondents	Program type	Divisions	2 Divisions	3 + Divisions	
2	6	FY	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	
	2 0	'''	0	4	2	
2	6	2 6 10	LD	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%
2	0	LD	1	4	1	
22	51	AL	13.7%	47.1%	39.2%	
22			7	24	20	
11	39	SOSR	30.8%	43.6%	25.6%	
11 39	303K	12	17	10		
6	30	UD	13.3%	53.3%	33.3%	
0	30		4	16	10	